

## **‘He wants to stay with his fiancée but still sees his ex-wife’ -Talk about having your cake and eating it!**

The concluding judgment of the event seems justifiable to me. He literally wants to have the best of both worlds, which is impossible. It means you cannot have or do two things at the same time that are impossible to have or do at the same time. You must decide which one you want because you can't have both. In other words, you cannot have two conflicting things.

Clearly he is an indecisive man, he's not clear on what he wants and he is very prone to infidelity. It is one thing to still have feelings for your ex-wife (*let's assume you actually do and can't help it, after all the heart wants what it wants*) and it's another thing to act on it. Having contacts and sexual relations with your ex-wife whom you divorced to be with a side chick (*who is now your fiancée*). The same fiancée he have refused to have a wedding with. Apparently, he produces shows but can't produce a wedding for his fiancée. What a grotesque misalliance!

So the man, his fiancée and his ex-wife are the dominant characters here.

Based on Ethics and the society' morals, the faults of these three characters are evident.

- Good ethics demand that he avoids unnecessary contacts with his ex-wife much less sexual relations. If the man had an ounce of respect for his fiancée, he would not have done such.

- The ex-wife of course had a fault in the situation; yes we agree that you're now single, same as the man (*this is based on the fact that he is yet to marry his fiancée*). According to standard ethics, she shouldn't have exploited that boundary with an engaged man regardless of her reasons for doing so. It was an inappropriate move (*which she later admitted anyways*).

- As for the fiancée, although she seems like a victim at the first glance, she certainly had some faults too. Her fiancé (*the man*) made a complaint about her being a control freak and gave several mentions of things she had done. As much as the society supports fairness among men and women, as a woman she shouldn't be the dominant character. Ethics would require that she seeks out his opinion before making certain decisions especially ones related to him. She should understand that appropriate communication matters as much as accountability matters.

Metaphysically speaking, we'd understand that our minds reflect in our actions. So unless he's putting on a charade I'd say that the man's indecisiveness made him lose both women.

He couldn't settle for one woman at a time; the same fiancée that he claimed to love he cheated with his ex-wife. The same ex-wife he left for this same side chick (*turned fiancée*).

Judging from his interactions and tone of words, he's clearly a narcissistic person. He must have thought he was such a fine man that he could have both woman holding onto him. A perfect scapegoat for the saying 'don't play your cards too high with women, you'd lose everything for it'. Now he lost both them and will start paying child support (*from God knows how much he earns, because the wife accused him of being a bit stingy*).

As for the ex-wife, the current society would conclude that she is just an open-minded person but looking at it on a deeper level, she's human after all. She must have been hurt terribly the man when he divorced her for a side chick and that was how the resentment grew. Of course she must have wanted payback at some point but then backed down. So when he met her at the show after calling her persistently for years, the man's actions must have triggered her already cold revenge (*because it's blatantly clear that she had sexual relations with him for payback*). She used the psychological trick on him, made him think she still had a thing for him and he started having issues with his fiancée whom he now thinks is a control freak after 5 years of living together. She clearly did both of them dirty with her actions, but that's just how the human mind works.

Concerning the fiancée, I believe it's okay to say that karma came looking at her right in the face. It's the law of nature, how she got him is how she also lost him. A flashback was played at the start of the video where she as at 6 years ago was a side chick to this same man when he divorced his now ex-wife. But now, the tides has turned on her.

### **According to our Nigerian culture:**

In our culture, polygamy is allowed. It's a normal thing, and in such situations the man is always the most privileged one. If he decides to take both women as his wives or concubines, no one would object.

Our culture supports what we now call the patriarchal legacy where the man has the highest level of authority and the wife is treated more as his worker than as his subordinate.

Regarding this case, I would say the modern day society standards is more justifiable because there's a fairness in the handling of the situation rather than forcing both women to be with him.

There's isn't much that our cultural method would have solved, instead it would have infringed on the rights of those women to make their own choices and give all the authority to the man. The scientific or modern day standards solves more problems in this kind of situation. All it required was fairness.

**Things the characters could have done differently:**

1. The ex-wife was without blame until she had sexual relations with her ex-husband, so she should have avoided having unnecessary contacts with him. The sexual relation was consensual and that shows that she had the option to set boundaries between them both.
2. The fiancée, although now engaged to the man shouldn't have had sexual relations with a married man leading to the divorce and this situation. She should have also been more communicative and considerate, she's not in a relationship alone so she has to ask for the man's opinion before taking certain decisions in the house.
3. The man could have made better decision than divorcing his ex-wife in a whim and then wanting to get back with her later on. He also to should have maintained a neutral contact with his ex-wife even if he was indeed only checking up on her as he said. He clearly doesn't have much self control.